

Dispersion Tolerance of 40 Gbaud Multilevel Modulation Formats with up to 3 bits per Symbol

Jesper Bevensee Jensen, Torger Tokle,
Yan Geng and Palle Jeppesen,
COM•DTU Department of Communication, Optics and Materials,
Technical University of Denmark
Ørsteds Plads, Building 345V, DK-2800 Kgs. Lyngby, Denmark
Email: jj@com.dtu.dk

Murat Serbay and Werner Rosenkranz
Chair of Communications, University of Kiel,
Kaiserstr. 2, 24143 Kiel, Germany

Abstract— We present numerical and experimental investigations of dispersion tolerance for multilevel phase- and amplitude modulation with up to 3 bits per symbol at a symbol rate of 40 Gbaud.

I. INTRODUCTION

The continuous increase in the demand for capacity in optical communication systems has in recent years led to investigations of advanced modulation formats capable of transmitting more than one data bit with each optical pulse. Typically, this is achieved through the use of multiple amplitude levels, multiple phase levels or a combination of the two. This has led to the demonstration of up to 16 symbol states at a symbol rate of 10 Gbaud [1] and up to 8 symbol states at a symbol rate of 40 Gbaud [2].

The motivation for using multilevel modulation formats comes partly from the foreseeable difficulty in designing electronics that can support bit rates higher than 100 Gbit/s, and partly from the fact that many transmission impairments, e.g. dispersion, tend to scale with the symbol rate rather than with the bit rate.

In this paper, measurements and simulations of the dispersion tolerance of various modulation formats with up to 8 symbol states—corresponding to 3 bits per symbol—is presented. In all cases, the symbol rate was 40 Gbaud, i.e. the highest achieved bit rate was 120 Gbit/s. Return to Zero (RZ) pulse shaping was used in all cases. The investigated modulation formats were 40 Gbit/s On Off Keying (OOK), 40 Gbit/s Differential Binary Phase Shift Keying (DBPSK), 80 Gbit/s Differential Quaternary Phase Shift Keying (DQPSK), 80 Gbit/s DBPSK ASK and 120 Gbit/s DQPSK ASK.

II. SETUP

A block diagram of the transmitter and receiver used in the experiments is given in figure 1. Continuous wave light at 1550 nm wavelength was converted to a 50% duty-cycle pulse train by a Mach-Zehnder Modulator (MZM) driven by a 40 GHz sine-wave. A second MZM and a Phase Modulator (PM) provided the phase modulation needed for DBPSK and DQPSK. A third MZM was used for the ASK modulation. All data modulators were driven by a $2^7 - 1$ bits long Pseudo Random Bit Sequence (PRBS) at 40 Gbit/s, thus resulting




Fig. 1. Block diagram of the RZ-DQPSK-ASK transmitter (top) and receiver (bottom) used in the experiments.

in 120 Gbit/s RZ-DQPSK-ASK. Decorrelation was assured by applying appropriate time delays. By removing one or more of the modulators, RZ-OOK and RZ-DBPSK at 40 Gbit/s, RZ-DBPSK-ASK and RZ-DQPSK at 80 Gbit/s was achieved. In the combined phase- and amplitude modulated formats, the ASK extinction ratio is a trade-off between good ASK performance and good DB/QPSK performance. The ASK-extinction ratio was adjusted to give the same back-to-back receiver sensitivity for the phase- and amplitude modulated signals. For RZ-DBPSK-ASK, 6 dB was used, and for RZ-DQPSK-ASK, 4.5 dB was used.

At the receiver input, the light was attenuated to the desired receiver input power before amplification by an erbium doped fiber amplifier. Out of band spontaneous emission noise was filtered out using an optical band pass filter with a bandwidth of 1.3 nm. After filtering, the signal was split into two by a 3 dB fiber coupler. One output was used for detection of the ASK modulation via a 40 GHz photodiode and a 40 Gbit/s error detector, the other output from the fiber coupler was used for the detection of the phase modulated signal via a one-symbol-delay interferometer and a pair of balanced 40 GHz photodiodes. Due to the lack of a programmable 40 Gbit/s error detector, the 40 Gbit/s electrical signal was demultiplexed to four 10 Gbit/s tributaries. Bit Error Ratio (BER) was




Fig. 2. Numerically simulated dispersion tolerance for the investigated modulation formats.

measured for each of the four tributaries individually. The desired DQPSK tributary was selected by adjustment of the phase-offset in the delay demodulator.

Numerical simulations of the dispersion tolerance of the studied modulation formats has been performed using a model analogue to the setup used for the experiments. RZ pulse shaping with a 50% duty cycle was used for all the modulation formats. The simulations were performed using an idealized noise free model, where dispersion was introduced as a linear dispersive element. The ASK extinction ratio was optimised to 6 dB for RZ-ASK-DBPSK and 4.5 dB for RZ-ASK-DQPSK in order to give equal back to back eye opening for the ASK- and DB/QPSK parts. The signal rise time was 6.25 ps, equivalent to 25% of the symbol period. The quality parameter used throughout the simulations was the Eye Opening Penalty (EOP).

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Numerical Simulations

The results of the numerical simulations are plotted in figure 2. For the RZ-ASK-DBPSK and RZ-ASK-DQPSK graphs, the plotted graph is the ASK part, as this gave the worst performance. From the plot it can be seen that the decrease in dispersion tolerance with increasing number of bits per symbol is very limited. We see that going from 40 Gbit/s RZ-DBPSK to 80 Gbit/s RZ-DQPSK results in virtually no penalty, and adding ASK to a phase modulated signal results in a decrease in the 3 dB penalty limited dispersion tolerance by only 22%.

B. Experimental

Experimental investigation of the dispersion tolerance of the numerically simulated modulation formats were also performed. The dispersion tolerance was determined by measuring the receiver input power penalty after propagating the signal through various pieces of fiber with different dispersion. Since the quality parameter in the experiments was the BER penalty, some caution should be taken before making a one-to-one comparison with the simulations in which the EOP was




Fig. 3. Measured dispersion tolerance for the investigated modulation formats.

used. For each of the investigated modulation formats, the results are plotted in figure 3. In each case, the plot represents the worst tributary. From the plot, it can be seen that the decrease in dispersion tolerance with increasing number of bits per symbol is worse than suggested by the numerical simulations. We see a reduction in dispersion tolerance by approximately a factor of 2 when doubling the bit rate and by approximately a factor of 3 when tripling the bit rate. This should be compared to the expected behaviour from a binary modulation format, where a doubling of the bit rate would lead to 4 times worse dispersion tolerance ($2^2 = 4$) and a tripling would decrease the dispersion tolerance 9 times ($3^2 = 9$). It is also observed that in this experiment, the dispersion tolerance for different modulation formats with equal bit- and symbol rate was identical

IV. CONCLUSION

Numerical and experimental investigations of multilevel modulation formats with up to 3 bits per symbol at a symbol rate of 40 Gbaud has been presented. Experiments showed a reduction in dispersion tolerance by approximately a factor of 3 from tripling the bit rate through multilevel modulation, i.e. much better than the 9 times reduction expected from a tripling of the bit rate in a binary modulated system. The numerical simulations showed a potential for even better performance in more ideal systems.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The work described was partly funded by the Danish Council for Strategic Research project MultiSpeed, and by COST action 291. ITF Optical Technologies is acknowledged for supplying the delay demodulator.

REFERENCES

- [1] K. Sekine *et al.*, 40 Gbit/s, 16-ary (4 bit/symbol) optical modulation/demodulation scheme, *Electronics Letters*, Vol. 41, No. 7, pp. 430–432, March 2005.
- [2] T. Tokle *et al.*, Penalty-free transmission of multilevel 240 Gbit/s RZ-DQPSK-ASK using only 40 Gbit/s equipment, in *Proceedings European Conference on Optical Communication, ECOC'05*, vol. 6, Glasgow, Scotland, Sept. 2005, paper Th4.1.6, pp. 11–12, post-deadline paper.