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Abstract

In high bitrate optical transmission systems the dynamic changes of the transmission channel exceed the system tolerances for an error free transmission. To meet the tolerances an adaptive equalizer is necessary. We demonstrate the capabilities of integrated optical FIR-filters for an adaptive compensation of optical fiber channel impairments at 40Gb/s.
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Abstract In current and next generation high speed optical transmission systems, adaptive distortion compensation is of high interest. With increasing bitrates and an increasing complexity of the optical layer, the sources for signal distortions are increasing. The dynamic changes of the transmission channel due chromatic dispersion (CD), polarization mode dispersion (PMD) and nonlinear distortions, such as self-phase modulation (SPM), easily exceed the tolerable amount for an error free operation of the transmission system. To compensate for these time and frequency varying distortions and to meet the system tolerances, a static compensation approach is not sufficient anymore and an adaptive solution for equalization is necessary.

Optical equalizers have advantages in comparison to electrical ones [1-2], as they are not bitrate limited due to the electronics and operate in front of the nonlinear photodiode. Reported optical adaptive equalization experiments compensate either for chromatic dispersion or polarization mode dispersion by devices that model the inverse system, e.g. CD compensation by fiber bragg gratings (FBG), integrated IIR- and FIR-filter structures or PMD compensation by cascaded polarization control and birefringent elements, [3-7].

Integrated optical FIR- and IIR-filter have a periodic frequency response, tunable center wavelength and a large variability in compensating for distorting effects, such as CD, higher order dispersion, SPM and PMD [6,8-10]. By matching the frequency response periodicity (i.e. the free spectral range (FSR)) to the channel grid, a single filter can equalize a number of WDM channels simultaneously. IIR filters are based on ring resonators or etalons. The FSR is determined by the absolute path length of the feedback, which makes it difficult to realize a bandwidth of 50GHz and more. Planar lightwave circuit (PLC) integrated FIR lattice filters can be implemented by cascading symmetrical and asymmetrical Mach-Zehnder Interferometer (MZI), Fig.1. In contrast to optical IIR-filters, FIR-filters are feed forward filters and the FSR is given by the differential path difference. A large bandwidth of 100GHz or more can be easily achieved. The device was designed and fabricated using the IBM high-index-contrast SiON technology [11]. The die size is 16 × 12 mm.

Fig. 1. schematic structure of an integrated optical FIR lattice filter: cascaded symmetrical and asymmetrical Mach-Zehnder Interferometer

Criteria for the adaptive control have been proposed in the time and in the frequency domain as well, e.g. eye opening, Q-factor or bit error rate and vestigial side band filtering, spectral broadening through a Kerr nonlinearity or monitoring a subcarrier, the clock intensity or the electrical spectrum [12-16].

We chose electrical spectrum monitoring as adaptive feedback for simultaneous CD and PMD compensation with PLC integrated optical FIR-filters, because of its sensitivity to both and its simplicity and ease of implementation [9], section A. The classical adaptive equalization approach in communication theory, the minimization of the intersymbol interference with electrical FIR-filters and a Least Mean Square error algorithm is transferred into the optical domain in section B, [8,10].
A. Simultaneous adaptive CD and PMD equalization with electrical spectrum monitoring as adaptive feedback

In contrast to the time and optical frequency domain criteria, adaptive feedback solutions within the electrical spectrum are fast, inexpensive and easy to implement by electrical bandpass filters and power monitoring. We demonstrate a strategy of monitoring a single frequency for combined adaptive CD and PMD compensation in a 40Gb/s NRZ transmission setup with integrated optical 6th order FIR-filters filter of a FSR=100GHz, Fig.2.

Signal distortions are determined through changes of the transfer function of the optical fiber. The optical fiber transfer function is reflected in the power spectral density or the electrical spectrum of the received signal. Monitoring the power of the bandpass filtered electrical signal for various dispersion values results in an oscillating characteristic with a global maximum \( f_0 < f_{\text{bit}} \) or minimum \( f_0 = f_{\text{bit}} \) at zero chromatic dispersion for linear transmission, Fig.3a. The bandpass filtered electrical PMD signal has well defined alternating power maxima and minima at a differential group delay (DGD) of e.g. n-times \( T_{\text{bit}}/2 \) for a center frequency of \( f_0=40\text{GHz} \), Fig.3b.

For a unique adaptive solution, the feedback signal has to be strictly monotone increasing or decreasing up to the point of minimal signal distortion. Therefore the operation range of the power response of the bandpass filtered electrical signal depends on the bandpass filter center frequency, e.g. \( D=\pm 180\text{ps/nm} \) or \( DGD=25\text{ps} \) for \( f_0=20\text{GHz} \). The operation range is increasing with decreasing center frequencies.

The adaptive compensation of CD using a feedback signal generated by an electrical bandpass filter of a center frequency \( f_0=20\text{GHz} \) is demonstrated in an experiment, Fig.4. From a starting point of approximately \( D=120\text{ps/nm} \) residual dispersion (BER<10^{-4}) at the equalizer input, the adaptive control algorithm varies the residual dispersion (channel+equalizer) until the optimum CD value is reached.
Combining both signal distortions, PMD and CD, the bandpass filtered electrical signal power is a two dimensional function depending on the amount of CD and DGD with a global maximum at zero CD and DGD, Fig.5.

Therefore electrical spectrum monitoring can be used to compensate for CD and DGD simultaneously. To compensate for the CD and PMD the orthogonal polarization modes have to be splitted, each polarization equalized by a separate filter and the polarizations combined (polarization splitted setup). The simultaneous equalization of CD and PMD is shown by simulations, Fig.6.

The characteristic 1dB EOP line is increased from $D=60\text{ps/nm}$ and $DGD=12\text{ps}$ without equalizer up to $D=140\text{ps/nm}$ and $DGD=24\text{ps}$ with equalizer. Exceeding the operation range leads the adaptive algorithm to converge into a local maximum. To increase the operation range, the bandpass filter frequency has to be decreased or combined with bandpass filters of lower center frequency.
B. Intersymbol Minimization with a Least Mean Square Error Algorithm

A common approach for adaptive filtering and equalization in communications theory is to use the ISI in a mean square error formulation as feedback criterion for the filter control. We use an optical FIR-filter for equalization in the optical domain and measure the ISI mean square error behind the photodiode in the electrical domain, Fig. 7.

For equalization, a modified Least Mean Square (LMS) algorithm iterates each coefficient of the optical FIR-filter in the direction of the gradient of the feedback signal, the MSE of the photodetected \( y(n) \) and desired or training sequence \( d(n) \). To evaluate the equalizer performance, the dispersion tolerance of a single channel for linear and nonlinear transmission is simulated, Fig. 8, 9. The FSR and power levels are varied.

In a linear 40Gb/s NRZ coded transmission, the dispersion tolerance referenced to an EOP of 1dB is approximately \( D=\pm 60\text{ps/nm} \). With a 10th order FIR-filter and the adaptive minimization of the ISI the dispersion tolerance can be increased up to \( D=\pm 200\text{ps/nm} \) for a FSR of 80GHz, Fig. 8.

For the most efficient equalization, the impulse response for a given filter order should be as long as possible. Therefore, the FSR has to be chosen as small as possible, but additional penalties due to bandwidth narrowing have to be avoided. The optimum FSR is between \( FSR=80...100\text{GHz} \), which corresponds to a tap delay of \( T=2...2.5 T_{\text{bit}} \).

In a nonlinear transmission, not only an increased dispersion tolerance, but also SPM equalization can be realized. A transmission system consisting of 100km SSMF and optimal dispersion compensation with DCF, results in a minimum EOP of approximately 1dB for a launch power of \( P_{\text{launch}}=9\text{dBm} \), Fig. 9. With the adaptive optical FIR-filter, the minimum EOP can be reduced to 0.5dB and the dispersion tolerance increased up to the range of \( D=100...+200\text{ps/nm} \). Further on, a minimum EOP of 1dB, as in the unequalized \( P_{\text{launch}}=9\text{dBm} \) case, can be reached at a better dispersion tolerance by equalizing the same transmission setup with a launch power of \( P_{\text{launch}}=12\text{dBm} \). This is a SPM equalizing gain of 3dB.

**Conclusion** Planar lightwave circuit integrated optical FIR-filters are small, easy and fast tunable by the thermo-optic effect. As they can realize an arbitrary transfer function, they are a powerful device for compensating fiber channel impairments. Electrical spectrum monitoring is an easy to implement solution for the adaptive control of the optical FIR-filter. The most effective approach for the adaptive
filter control is the minimization of the intersymbol interference in a MSE formulation with a LMS error algorithm, but difficult to implement at high bitrates.
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